

## **Speed-Accuracy Testing on the Apple iPad® Provides a Quantitative Test of Upper Extremity Motor Performance in Children with Dystonia**

Matteo Bertuccio and Terence D. Sanger

*J Child Neurol* published online 21 August 2013

DOI: 10.1177/0883073813494265

The online version of this article can be found at:

<http://jcn.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/08/21/0883073813494265>

---

Published by:



<http://www.sagepublications.com>

**Additional services and information for *Journal of Child Neurology* can be found at:**

**Email Alerts:** <http://jcn.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

**Subscriptions:** <http://jcn.sagepub.com/subscriptions>


**Reprints:** <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

**Permissions:** <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

>> [OnlineFirst Version of Record](#) - Aug 21, 2013

[What is This?](#)

# Speed-Accuracy Testing on the Apple iPad<sup>®</sup> Provides a Quantitative Test of Upper Extremity Motor Performance in Children with Dystonia

Journal of Child Neurology  
00(0) 1-7  
© The Author(s) 2013  
Reprints and permission:  
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav  
DOI: 10.1177/0883073813494265  
jcn.sagepub.com  


Matteo Bertucco, PhD<sup>1</sup> and Terence D. Sanger, MD, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>

## Abstract

The currently available scales for quantitative measurement of the severity of childhood dystonia require human observer ratings and provide poor granularity in the scores for individual limbs. We evaluated the use of new-generation high-quality touchscreens (an iPad<sup>®</sup>) according with the Fitts law, which is a mathematical model that takes into account the relation between movement time and the task accuracy. We compared the abilities of healthy subjects and children with dystonia. The linear relation described by Fitts law held for all the groups. The movement time and the information transmitted were age and severity related. Our results provide evidence for the usability and validity of using Fitts law as a quantitative diagnostic tool in children with dystonia. Furthermore, testing on touchscreen tablets may help to guide the design of user interfaces to maximize the communication rate for children who depend upon assistive communication devices.

## Keywords

dystonia, Fitts law, reaching, iPad<sup>®</sup>, human-computer interaction, transmission of information

Received April 12, 2013. Received revised May 13, 2013. Accepted for publication May 28, 2013.

Childhood dystonia is defined as “a movement disorder in which involuntary sustained or intermittent muscle contractions cause twisting and repetitive movements, abnormal postures, or both.”<sup>1</sup> Dystonia is particularly common in children with dyskinetic cerebral palsy, which has an estimated prevalence of 25 000 children in the United States.<sup>2-5</sup> Children with cerebral palsy often must interact with the outside world through augmented and assistive communication devices such as programmable touchscreens. Thus, such children are dependent upon their sensory-motor abilities to activate the buttons on the screen.

The quantitative evaluation of dystonia is a critical aspect of clinical care, both during the first assessment and at follow-up visits, in order to evaluate medical treatment, physical therapy, and surgical interventions. Currently the sensory-motor abilities of patients with dystonia as well as severity are assessed by clinical scales, such as the Gross Motor Function Classification System,<sup>6</sup> Burke-Fahn-Marsden dystonia rating scale,<sup>7</sup> the Barry-Albright Dystonia rating scale,<sup>8</sup> and the Unified Dystonia Rating Scale.<sup>9</sup> The ease of use and immediate results are important features of these scales. However, they are ordinal scales with a component of subjective interpretation, particularly for severity. Hence, adding a quantitative and objective test could be a very important adjunct for the sensory-motor assessment of childhood movement disorders, including dystonia.

In 1954 Paul Fitts proposed the first mathematical model to describe the trade-off between speed and accuracy.<sup>10</sup> Derived from Shannon’s information theory,<sup>11</sup> Fitts hypothesized that the speed-accuracy relationship was due to a limitation of information transmission in the human motor system. He showed that movement time ( $MT$ ) to reach a target increases with distance ( $D$ ) and decreases as target size increases ( $W$ ), such that  $MT = a + b \log_2(2D/W)$ , wherein  $a$  and  $b$  are empirical constants for each subject, and  $\log_2(2D/W)$  represents the Index of Difficulty ( $ID$ ).<sup>10,12</sup> This relationship predicts that at a constant  $2D/W$  ratio,  $MT$  will remain unchanged. Hence,  $MT$  is directly proportional to the  $ID$ , which may have the same value for different combinations of movement parameters

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>2</sup> Departments of Biomedical Engineering, Child Neurology, and Biokinesiology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>3</sup> Children’s Hospital of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

## Corresponding Author:

Terence D. Sanger, MD, PhD, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Southern California, 1042 Downey Way, DRB 140, Los Angeles, CA 90089-1111, USA.  
Email: tsanger@usc.edu

**Table 1.** Characteristics of Children With Dystonia.

Participant	Age	Gender	Diagnosis	Left arm BAD score	Right arm BAD score	Preferred arm	Arm used for the task
P1	14	F	Primary dystonia; DYT1-	2	3	Left	Left
P2	18	M	Secondary generalized dystonia; vitamin E deficiency	1	1	Right	Right
P3	18	M	Secondary generalized dystonia; cerebral palsy	3	3	Left	Left
P4	7	M	Secondary generalized dystonia; cerebral palsy	1	1	Left	Left
P5	16	F	Secondary generalized dystonia; Glutaric acid urea type I	3	3	Left	Left
P6	18	M	Primary dystonia; DYT1+	1	1	Left	Left
P7	11	M	Primary dystonia; DYT1+	0	1	Left	Right
P8	11	M	Secondary generalized dystonia; cerebral palsy	1	1	Left	Left
P9	16	F	Secondary generalized dystonia; right hemiplegia	0	3	Left	Right
P10	9	M	Primary dystonia; DYT1+	2	2	Left	Left
P11	11	M	Primary dystonia; DYT1+	3	2	Right	Right
P12	19	F	Secondary generalized dystonia; cerebral palsy	2	2	Right	Right
P13	9	M	Secondary generalized dystonia; cerebral palsy	2	2	Right	Right
P14	18	F	Secondary generalized dystonia; cerebral palsy	3	1	Right	Right
P15	16	M	Dystonic tremor	2	1	Right	Right
P16	8	M	Secondary generalized dystonia; cerebral palsy	2	3	Left	Left

Abbreviation: BAD, Barry-Albright Dystonia Scale.

*D* and *W*. The law has been validated in different experimental conditions, populations, and devices.<sup>13</sup> It has been shown that children with congenital spastic hemiplegia also obey Fitts law despite their limitations in fine motor control.<sup>14</sup> Notwithstanding an increased signal-dependent noise, we showed that children with dystonia could scale the movement speed with the target size as expected for the Fitts speed-accuracy tradeoff.

Fitts law was originally proposed as a test for the manual skill of factory workers, and it continues to be useful to measure the speed-accuracy abilities of healthy adults. Therefore, it seems that Fitts law might be a suitable test to evaluate the sensory-motor abilities in children with dystonia or other movement disorders, and a very useful diagnostic clinical aid for physicians.

Over the last 30 years, Fitts law has been extremely successful in human-computer interaction to measure and characterize the performance of various input systems.<sup>16</sup> The Fitts model has allowed comparing and evaluating novel pointing devices through the Index of Performance (*IP*), expressed by the inverse of the linear equation slope ( $1/b$ ),<sup>12</sup> such that the higher its value, the less *MT* is affected by increases in *ID*. Later, ISO 9241-9 has introduced the Throughput (*TP*) in human-computer interaction design as a further metric in quantifying input system performance (see Methods for mathematical description).<sup>17,18</sup> Fitts law has recently been applied in optimizing the layout of augmented and assistive communication devices for children with cerebral palsy using a mathematical model for information based on the relationship between movement time and button size.<sup>19</sup>

The availability of the latest generation of touchscreen tablets has changed the concept of using augmented and assistive communication devices in children with speech and motor impairments. These devices are extremely portable, easy to manage, and the screen layouts are relatively simple to program. Thus there is a need to analyze and compare the capability of these

“input” devices for transmitting information from the user to the computer, and a need to optimize the communication rate for children.

This study tests 2 hypotheses: (1) Fitts law applies to targets on touchscreen tablets, in typical children and in children with movement disorders. (2) Fitts’ Index of Performance can assess and compare the sensory-motor abilities between children with dystonia and control subjects.


Therefore, we designed an experiment using custom software on the Apple iPad® to test the Fitts law paradigm in healthy adults, healthy children, and children with dystonia. The Index of Performance and Throughput were compared between groups to measure the extent of information transmitted by using the iPad® and to test its efficiency as an assisted communication device for children with speech and motor impairments.<sup>19</sup>

## Methods

### Participants

Sixteen children with a clinical diagnosis of either primary or secondary dystonia affecting one or both hands ( $13.7 \pm 4.2$  [standard deviation] years old), 15 healthy children ( $9.7 \pm 2.5$  [standard deviation] years old), and 13 healthy adults ( $28.5 \pm 2.8$  [standard deviation] years old) participated. The children with dystonia were recruited from the movement disorders clinic at Children’s Hospital of Los Angeles (CHLA). Their characteristics are outlined in Table 1.

Participants were excluded if there was clinical evidence of spasticity or corticospinal injury in the upper extremities, including hyper-reflexia, a spastic catch, or weakness. The University of Southern California Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol. All healthy adults and children’s parents gave informed written consent for participation, and all children gave written assent. Authorization for analysis, storage, and publication of protected health information was obtained from parents according to the Health Information Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).



**Figure 1.** (A) A representative sequence of 3 consecutive trials (a-b-c) with different target distance and target width. The score at each trial was based on the speed of the movement and the actual ID; note that the values displayed in the figure were chosen only for demonstrative purpose. In case a target was missed, as the last move (c) in the figure shows, a penalty of 30 bubbles was deducted from the Total Score (TS, see Methods) at the end of the block of 45 sequential targets. (B) The 9 locations of the targets on the screen (black circles) are shown; the dashed lines indicate the movement distances between targets.

## Experimental Setting

Each participant attended a single experimental session of approximately 1 hour. All dystonic participants were previously rated on the Barry-Albright Dystonia scale.<sup>8</sup>

Participants sat in a chair or their own wheelchair in front of a table whose surface height was adjusted at the midpoint between the hip and the Xiphoid process. They placed the hand that was not used for the task on their lap.

An iPad® (Apple Inc, Cupertino, California) was located on the table in portrait mode in front of the participants at a distance that ranged between 40 and 55 cm. An adjustable metal bookstand supported the iPad® to allow the participants a comfortable screen view. The size of the screen was 19.5 × 14.6 cm. Custom software was developed for the experimental task (XCode 3.2 development environment, iOS 4.2 operating system; Apple Inc, Cupertino, California).

## Task

The subjects were required to touch targets on the iPad® screen with the index finger of their preferred (less-affected) arm. Two children with dystonia (P7 and P9) were asked to perform the task with their nonpreferred arm since the preferred was not affected by dystonia (Barry-Albright Dystonia scale score equal to 0, see Table 1). Subjects were asked to maintain their trunk posture upright without touching the table or the bookstand with their pointing arm. Each trial was initiated by touching a 4 × 4 cm “start” button centered on the screen. The experimental task consisted of 180 targets divided in 4 blocks: 45 targets each block with a 1-minute interval between trials to avoid fatigue. Targets appeared at 1 of 9 different locations on the screen, and

subjects moved their finger sequentially from one target to the next. The targets were square with an image of 3 blue bubbles. Subjects were asked to touch and “burst the bubbles.” Subsequent targets appeared in a sequential manner on the screen at a random interval between 0.7 and 1.2s and disappeared at the instant when the next one appeared. The subject was required to maintain contact with the last target until the next target appeared without returning to the start button position (Figure 1A). In all, 6 target distances ( $D = 4.5, 6.6, 8.0, 9.1, 13.2, \text{ and } 16.0 \text{ cm}$ ) and 5 target widths ( $W = 1, 2, 3 \text{ cm}$ ) were used, yielding 18 different target conditions with different Indices of Difficulty,  $ID = \log_2 (2D/W)$  (Fitts, 1954), varying from 1.60 to 4.99 bits. This resulted in 10 trials for each target condition, namely, for each  $ID$ . The locations of the targets were chosen to match the 6 experimental distances, and the 18 target conditions ( $ID$ ) were shown in a pseudo-random order throughout the 180 trials, which resulted in 9 cartesian coordinates displayed in a rectangular grid (see Figure 1B). Subjects were encouraged to maintain motion close to the plane of the iPad® without an excessive displacement in perpendicular direction. However, sliding the finger along the iPad to the next target was not permitted, and success required that the first contact with the finger on the screen be within the desired target.

The software was programmed to avoid target locations that could be hidden by the hand or forearm. A score, rewarded in number of bubbles, was visually provided at each touch, just above of the target, based on the movement time and actual  $ID$ ; it was computed as follows:  $\text{Score } (S) = (2.5 \times ID)/MT$ , where  $MT$  is the Movement Time,  $ID$  is the Index of Difficulty, and 2.5 a constant term in order to return a tangible and reasonable score of the performance. At the end of each block of 45 sequential targets, the iPad® displayed the Total Score ( $TS$ ,  $[45 \times S]$ ) showing the “total number of bubbles” achieved. In order to

ensure attempts at accuracy, a penalty of 30 bubbles was given for each missed target. The penalties were, in that case, subtracted from the Total Score at the end of each block. The actual instruction to the subjects was: "Touch and burst the bubbles as fast as you can to get the highest score, if you miss the target you will lose thirty bubbles." Different auditory feedback was played for a hit or a missed target. The entire experimental test required less than 30 minutes for each subject.

## Data Analysis and Statistics

Custom software computed the Movement Time (*MT*) and the end-point at the target for each movement. Later, the data were transferred to a desktop computer and analyzed using Matlab 7.10 software (Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). The *MT* was determined as the time interval between release of the index finger from the screen and the next contact with the screen. The location of the touch (and whether or not it fell within the target) was determined from the operating system pointer location.

In order to test Fitts law, linear regressions were performed by the method of least squares, and the correlation coefficient was used to indicate the goodness of the fit of *MT* as a function of *ID*s. The Index of Performance (*IP*) was calculated by  $1/b$ , where  $b$  was the slope of the linear regression equations<sup>12</sup> and the Throughput (*TP*) was defined as following:

$$TP = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N ID_i / MT_i,$$


where  $N = 18$  is the number of *ID* conditions.<sup>18</sup>

The intercept  $a$  of the linear regression equations and the fraction of successful touches ( $S = \text{successful touches}/180$ ) were also calculated. A 2-way analysis of variance was performed to test the effects of the groups (3 levels; Group) and the Index of Difficulty (18 levels, *ID*) on the *MT*. In addition 1-way analyses of variance were performed to test differences between the 3 groups for the other dependent variables: intercept  $a$ , slope  $b$ ,  $S$ , *IP*, and *TP*. The Levene test was used to test the homogeneity of variance and Tukey honestly significant difference tests were run as post hoc comparisons. The Games-Howell post hoc test was used in case the population variances were unequal. Means and standard deviations were computed for outcome variables. For each participant, we also identified 95% confidence intervals. We used a criterion of  $P < .05$  in tests of significance. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

## Results

No subject withdrew from the study and there were no complaints of fatigue or any adverse events.

The 1-way analysis of variance showed a significant effect of group on  $S$  (successful pointing fraction) ( $F[2, 43] = 7.358, P < .01$ ). Post hoc comparisons of the 3 groups indicated that children with dystonia ( $\mu = 0.88 \pm 0.11$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.82, 0.94) had lower success than healthy children ( $P < .05, \mu = 0.96 \pm 0.19$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.95, 0.97) or adults ( $P < .05, \mu = 0.97 \pm 0.20$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.95, 0.98). Comparison of success between healthy children and adults was not statistically significant ( $P > .05$ ). On average, the control groups completed the test with an error



**Figure 2.** Mean movement time (*MT*) for all subjects as a function of the Index of Difficulty (*ID*). The straight lines show the best fits by the least squares method for each group (●, children with dystonia; ○, healthy children; and ▲, adults).


rate no more than 5%, whereas children with dystonia had an average error rate of 12%.

The 2-way analysis of variance showed a significant effect for both Group and *ID* factors ( $F[2, 41] = 860.61, P < .001$ , and  $F[17, 697] = 26.90, P < .001$ , respectively), with no significant interaction ( $F[34, 697] = 1.01, P = .452$ ). Children with dystonia were on average ( $\mu = 0.611 \pm 0.116s$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.561, 0.660) slower than healthy children ( $P < .001, \mu = 0.438 \pm 0.100s$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.387, 0.489) or adults ( $P < .001, \mu = 0.251 \pm 0.632s$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.196, 0.305) (Figure 2). Between controls, adults were faster than healthy children ( $P < .001$ ).


*MT* showed a significant linear regression on *ID* for children with dystonia ( $r = 0.89$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.49, 0.70,  $F[1, 17] = 63.694, P < .001$ ), healthy children ( $r = 0.88$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.54, 0.71,  $F[1, 17] = 52.971, P < .001$ ), and adults ( $r = 0.93$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.54, 0.71,  $F[1, 17] = 104.008, P < .001$ ), see Figure 2. The correlation coefficients were  $0.56 \pm 0.20$ ,  $0.63 \pm 0.16$ , and  $0.81 \pm 0.06$  for children with dystonia, healthy children, and adults, respectively. Correlation coefficients were not significantly different if we instead fitted *MT* against the *ID* computed using the effective information capacity formulation of Shannon and Weaver:  $ID = \log_2 [(D/W) + 1]$ ,<sup>11</sup> as proposed by Soukoreff and MacKenzie<sup>16</sup> ( $r = 0.90, 0.88$ , and  $0.93$ ). Moreover, the *MT* showed a significant linear correlation with the Barry-Albright Dystonia scale score in children with dystonia:  $r = 0.329, F(1, 15) = 8.368, P < .05$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.024, 0.159 (Figure 4).

There were significant differences in the coefficients of the regression of *MT* on *ID*, including both intercept  $a$  ( $F[2, 43] = 24.731, P < .001$ ) and slope  $b$  ( $F[2, 43] = 4.687, P < .05$ ). Post hoc tests showed a significant higher intercept in children with dystonia ( $\mu = 0.425 \pm 0.156s$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.342, 0.508) compared to healthy children ( $P < .01, \mu = 0.270 \pm 0.114s$ , 95% confidence interval = 0.206, 0.333) and





**Figure 3.** Plot showing the means and standard errors of the Index of Performance (*IP*, left panel) and the Throughput (*TP*, right panel) for the 3 groups.



**Figure 4.** Movement time (*MT*, top) and Throughput (*TP*, bottom) averaged across subjects as functions of the Barry-Albright Dystonia rating. Each data point represents 1 child with dystonia. The straight lines show the best fits by the least squares method.

adults ( $P < .001$ ,  $\mu = 0.111 \pm 0.559$ s, 95% confidence interval = 0.077, 0.145). Healthy children had a higher intercept than adults ( $P < .001$ ). The slope  $b$  was significantly higher in children with dystonia ( $\mu = 0.068 \pm 0.023$  s/bit, 95% confidence interval = 0.056, 0.081) compared to adults ( $P < .05$ ,  $\mu = 0.042 \pm 0.011$  s/bit, 95% confidence interval = 0.036, 0.050) but not when compared to healthy children. There was no significant difference of slope between adults and healthy children ( $\mu = 0.051 \pm 0.030$  s/bit, 95% confidence interval = 0.35, 0.068).

We calculated the Index of Performance (*IP*) and the Throughput (*TP*) to compare the touchscreen tablet with other input devices used to transmit information between humans and computers, as well as to investigate the suitability of using touchscreen tablets to assess and compare dexterity between healthy population and subjects with movement disorders. One-way analysis of variance revealed a significant effect of group on *IP* ( $F[2, 43] = 6.253$ ,  $P < .01$ ). Post hoc comparisons of the 3 groups indicated that children with dystonia ( $\mu = 16.36 \pm 6.18$  bit/s, 95% confidence interval = 13.06, 19.64) had lower

*IP* than healthy children ( $P < .05$ ,  $\mu = 23.14 \pm 8.26$  bit/s, 95% confidence interval = 18.57, 27.72) and adults ( $P < .01$ ,  $\mu = 24.91 \pm 6.32$  bit/s, 95% confidence interval = 21.10, 28.74). Comparisons between healthy children and adults were not statistically significant ( $P = .782$ ). There was also a significant effect of *TP* among groups ( $F[2, 43] = 48.488$ ,  $P < .001$ ). Post hoc comparisons of the 3 groups indicated that children with dystonia ( $\mu = 5.56 \pm 1.26$  bit/s, 95% confidence interval = 4.89, 6.23) had lower *TP* than healthy children ( $P < .05$ ,  $\mu = 7.88 \pm 2.03$  bit/s, 95% confidence interval = 6.76, 9.00) and adults ( $P < .001$ ,  $\mu = 13.71 \pm 3.25$  bit/s, 95% confidence interval = 11.73, 15.67). Adults had higher *TP* than healthy children ( $P < .001$ ) (Figure 3). The *TP* showed an inverse linear correlation with the Barry-Albright Dystonia scale score in children with dystonia:  $r = 0.278$ ,  $F(1, 15) = 6.761$ ,  $P < .05$ , 95% confidence interval = -1.691, -0.162 (Figure 4).

## Discussion

In the last 30 years Fitts law had been validated using a large variety of input devices, including mouse, trackball, joystick, and touchpad.<sup>18,20</sup> Given the recent widespread availability of high-quality touchscreen tablets, it is worthwhile to validate whether Fitts law using these inputs devices might result in a useful clinical tool to assess motor skills in patients with sensory-motor disabilities, such as dystonia. It may also be a helpful tool for the selection and programming of assistive communication devices.

In this study, we tested 2 hypotheses: (1) Fitts law applies to targets on a touchscreen tablet in healthy subjects and in children with dystonia, and (2) Fitts Index of Performance can be used to differentiate between children with dystonia and control subjects. Both hypotheses are supported by our results. The movement time to point at the targets scaled with the Index of Difficulty in the 3 groups. The correlation coefficients (0.93, 0.88, 0.89 for adults, children, and subjects with dystonia, respectively) lie in a range of coefficients of Fitts law previously tested with different input devices.<sup>20</sup> Furthermore, there were significant differences between children with dystonia and both healthy children and healthy adults, with almost no overlap between the performance measures of individual subjects within the healthy and dystonic groups.

Fitts law has been validated for different age populations<sup>21,22</sup> and for children with motor-sensory disabilities.<sup>14,15</sup> In particular, Hay found that as children develop, their movement speed increases.<sup>21</sup> This has been explained as a maturation of the CNS that leads the motor system to process more quickly the information necessary for motor skills.<sup>23,24</sup> In our results, adults performed faster than children. In children with sensory-motor impairments, Fitts law holds despite limitations of motor function, including increased signal-dependent noise and slower movements compared to typical age-matched children.<sup>15</sup>

Children with dystonia showed a significantly lower Index of Performance than healthy children and adults, resulting in lower information transfer rate. In other words, at the same index of difficulty children with dystonia perform the task

more slowly. This agrees with the hypothesis that slower movement compensates for increased variability due to increased signal-dependent noise.<sup>15,25</sup> Thus, dystonic children are constrained to reduce the velocity, namely, the motor output, to contain the movement variability in order to accomplish accuracy-dependent tasks.<sup>26</sup> This is further confirmed by relationships between movement time and throughput, and the Barry-Albright Dystonia scale in children with dystonia. Ultimately, the limited amount of information transmitted through a pointing movement on the iPad® closely reflects the reduced communication rate (measured as words or items produced per minute), in children with cerebral palsy.<sup>19</sup>

To summarize, we have shown that Fitts law applies to rapid pointing movements on a touchscreen tablet, and the Index of Performance can be used to differentiate between children with dystonia and healthy subjects. We hope that our results will be useful for the development of future quantitative measurement tools for children with movement disorders, and that they may also help to guide the design of touchscreen user interfaces to maximize communication rate for children who depend upon assistive communication devices.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Rebecca Sheehan-Stross and Andrea Mogollon Carrillo for assistance with recruitment. We thank Diana Ferman, Physician Assistant, for assistance with neurologic examinations. Custom iPad® software was written by Matteo Bertucco. This research was performed at the University of Southern California and the Children's Hospital Los Angeles. Preliminary results were presented at the Neural Control of Movement Annual Meeting in San Juan, Puerto Rico, in April 2011.

### Author Contributions

MB developed the apparatus and methods, performed the experiment, performed the statistical analysis, and wrote the first draft. TDS clinically evaluated the participants. Both authors conceived the experiment, designed and reviewed the statistical analysis, and reviewed the manuscript.

### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was supported in part by grants from the Don and Linda Carter Foundation, the Crowley-Carter Foundation, the National Institutes of Health (NS064046), and the University of Southern California Department of Biomedical Engineering.

### Ethical Approval

The University of Southern California Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol. All parents gave informed written consent for participation and authorization for use of protected health information. All children gave written assent (Study IRB# UP\_09\_00263).

### References

1. Sanger TD, Delgado MR, Gaebler-Spira D, Hallett M, Mink JW. Classification and definition of disorders causing hypertonia in childhood. *Pediatrics*. 2003;111:e89-e97.
2. Hagberg G, Hagberg G, Olow I. The changing panorama of cerebral palsy in Sweden 1954-1970. II. Analysis of the various syndromes. *Acta Paediatr Scand*. 1975;64:193-200.
3. Kyllerman M, Bager B, Bensch J, et al. Dyskinetic cerebral palsy. I. Clinical categories, associated neurological abnormalities and incidences. *Acta Paediatr Scand*. 1982;71:543-550.
4. Kyllerman M. Dyskinetic cerebral palsy. II. Pathogenetic risk factors and intra-uterine growth. *Acta Paediatr Scand*. 1982;71:551-558.
5. Hagberg B, Hagberg G, Olow I, von Wendt L. The changing panorama of cerebral palsy in Sweden. V. The birth year period 1979-82. *Acta Paediatr Scand*. 1989;78:283-290.
6. Palisano R, Rosenbaum P, Walter S, Russell D, Wood E, Galuppi B. Development and reliability of a system to classify gross motor function in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1997;39:214-223.
7. Burke RE, Fahn S, Marsden CD, et al. Validity and reliability of a rating scale for the primary torsion dystonias. *Neurology*. 1985;35:73-77.
8. Barry MJ, VanSwearingen JM, Albright AL. Reliability and responsiveness of the Barry-Albright Dystonia Scale. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1999;41:404-411.
9. Comella CL, Leurgans S, Wu J, et al. Rating scales for dystonia: A multicenter assessment. *Mov Disord*. 2003;18:303-312.
10. Fitts PM. The information capacity of the human motor system in controlling the amplitude of movement. *J Exp Psychol*. 1954;47:381-391.
11. Shannon CE, Weaver W. *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press; 1949.
12. Fitts PM, Peterson JR. Information capacity of discrete motor responses. *J Exp Psychol*. 1964;67:103-112.
13. Plamondon R, Alimi AM. Speed/accuracy trade-offs in target-directed movements. *Behav Brain Sci*. 1997;20:279-303.
14. Smits-Engelsman BCM, Rameckers EAA, Duysens J. Children with congenital spastic hemiplegia obey Fitts' law in a visually guided tapping task. *Exp Brain Res*. 2006;177:431-439.
15. Sanger TD, Kaiser J, Placek B. Reaching movements in childhood dystonia contain signal-dependent noise. *J Child Neurol*. 2005;20:489-496.
16. Soukoreff R, MacKenzie I. Towards a standard for pointing device evaluation, perspectives on 27 years of Fitts' law research in HCI. *Int J Hum-Comput St*. 2004;61:751-789.
17. ISO, 2002. Reference Number: ISO 9241-9:2000(E). Ergonomic requirements for office work with visual display terminals (VDTs)-Part 9-Requirements for non-keyboard input devices (ISO 9241-9) (Vol. February 15, 2002): International Organization for Standardization.
18. MacKenzie IS, Soukoreff RW. Card, English, and Burr (1978)—25 years later. Proceedings of the Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems—CHI 2003, 760-761.
19. Sanger TD, Henderson J. Optimizing assisted communication devices for children with motor impairments using a model of

- information rate and channel capacity. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng*. 2007;15:458-468.
20. MacKenzie IS. Fitts' law as a research and design tool in human-computer interaction. *Human-Comp Interact*. 1992;7:91-139.
21. Hay L. The effect of amplitude and accuracy requirements on movement time in children. *J Mot Behav*. 1981;13:177-186.
22. Brogmus GE. Effects of age and sex on speed and accuracy of hand movements: and the refinements they suggest for Fitts' law. *Proc Hum Factors Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*. 1991;35:208-212.
23. Sporns O, Edelman GM. Solving Bernstein's problem: a proposal for the development of coordinated movement by selection. *Child Dev*. 1993;64:960-981.
24. Schneiberg S, Sveistrup H, McFadyen B, McKinley P, Levin MF. The development of coordination for reach-to-grasp movements in children. *Exp Brain Res*. 2002;146:142-154.
25. Sanger TD. Arm trajectories in dyskinetic cerebral palsy have increased random variability. *J Child Neurol*. 2006;21:551-557.
26. Harris CM, Wolpert DM. Signal-dependent noise determines motor planning. *Nature*. 1998;394:780-784.